



### DELIVERABLE 2.1 INDICATORS FOR EVALUATING PILOT RESULTS

"eHealth Territorial LAB - Local ecosystem as an instrument to scale up innovative local start-ups in eHealth topic"





The **Deliverable 2.1** has been designed to show indicators and numbers related to the pilot deployment.

We considered to split the indicators into two differents groups:

- → A set of quantity indicators aiming at representing the achievements and results of the current pilot action.
- A set of general quality and quantity indicators for further replication and impact evaluation





#### **QUALITY INDICATORS**

**ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS** 

# **BENEFICIARIES**

### 12 people over 65 years old

Age range: 65 - 77 years old

4 couples + 4 individuals

<mark>6</mark> women + <mark>6</mark> men

Further information about the beneficiaries:

- All the people are treated by the same Doctor.
- Beneficiaries were all in general good health conditions since the goal is to test a general process <u>not</u> focused on people experiencing specific diseases.
- Beneficiaries were <u>not</u> already benefiting from social welfare programmes or services.







# **GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE**

#### Number of towns included: 5

- 1) Municipality of Novalesa
- 2) Municipality of Moncenisio
- 3) Municipality of Venaus
- 4) Municipality of Mompantero
- 5) Municipality of Giaglione

All the five municipalities involved are located in the same Valley called "**Val Cenischia**" within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan City of Turin.

The "Val Cenischia" owns all the characteristics of a **remote** and **mountainous area**. However the selected Valley presents a certain degree of infrastructure allowing the implementation of the activities.





## **MONITORING FREQUENCY**







## PARAMETERS

#### Parameters to be monitored:

- → Body weight.
- → Blood pressure.
- → Heart rate.
- → Body temperature.
- → Blood saturation.
- → Rhythmic or arrhythmic frequency.

	Data	18/05/2021
•	Orario	15:42:44
	Tipologia	SMS
	PRESSIONE MASSIMA	129
	PRESSIONE MINIMA	76
	FC	77
	BATTITO IRREGOLARE	NO
	PESO (KG)	57
	TEMPERATURA	36
	SATURAZIONE SANGUE	95
	NOTE PAZIENTE	
	Visto Da	
	Visto Ruolo	
	Visto Reparto	
	Visto Data Ora	
	Visto Note	





### DEVICES

**Devices needed** for the acquisition of Parameters:

- Oximeter
- → Sphygmomanometer
- → Scale
- Thermometer

Beneficiaries has been provided with Oximeters and Sphygmomanometers.

Scales and Thermometer were already owned by beneficiaries.

Devices needed per person: 4

### Total number of devices supplied by the project owners: 20\* (10 Oximeters + 10 Sphygmomanometers)

\*Taking into account the presence of couples



## 4 HELIX HORIZONTAL PLACE BASED - APPROACH

PUBLIC AUTHORITIES	<ol> <li>NUTS 3 Authority - Metropolitan City of Turin</li> <li>Municipalities</li> <li>Health District</li> <li>Social Welfare Association</li> </ol>
INDUSTRY	<ol> <li>Internet Service Provider</li> <li>Multiutility</li> <li>Technology Provider selected</li> <li>Technology Provider chosen</li> </ol>
ACADEMIA	1 Academic Business Incubator (2i3t Business Incubator of the University of Turin)
CITIZEN	<ul> <li>12 Beneficiaries</li> <li>1 General Practitioner Doctor</li> <li>More than 20 local administrators</li> </ul>





### GENERAL QUALITY & QUANTITY INDICATORS FOR FURTHER REPLICATION AND IMPACT EVALUATION







#### 2) eHEALTH SERVICES

- → Have eHealth Services had an economic impact on the local ecosystem?
- Has the development of eHealth Services impacted against the abandonment of mountainous territories by the local population? Have eHealth services led "outsiders" to inhabit the Valley?
   Possible indicator: Net Immigration Rate
- The demand for eHealth Services resulted in/caused the settlement of new businesses in the territory concerned?
   Possible indicator: N° of new businesses



#### 3) eHEALTH MONITORING

#### From the Doctor Point of view:

- Can the Doctor monitor in a more efficient and accurate way the health conditions of people treated?
- → Does the Doctor find his job facilitated by the eHealth Services?

#### From the Beneficiary Point of View:

- Is the Beneficiary able to better monitor his medical status by means of eHealth status?
- → Has eventual degenerations in health status been prevented?

#### At the aggregate level, the living conditions of the population living in the area?

Has the supposed preventive effect caused the drop in the number of people experiencing common diseases?
 Possible indicator: Statistical report from the sanitary district with a dedicated focus

on the Valley.







![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)